

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,818	02/28/2002	Koji Takahashi	829-585	1578
23117	7590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			SONG, MATTHEW J	
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F. N, VA 22203	LOOK	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Osign   Continue							
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Matthew J. Song	Office Assistant Community	09/936,818	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editations of time map be available used for provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be firmely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30 days, will be considered timely).  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30 days, will be considered timely).  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30 days will be considered timely).  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days, a reply will be period for reply will, by statistic period will gay and will express (40 MONTHS from the melling date of this communication. Fellows for reply will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified days the construction of the communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30 days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the set of the period for reply will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the set of the period for reply will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the set of the period for reply will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the period for reply will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the period for reply and the period for reply will be considered	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Extensions of time may be swillable indice the provisions of 31 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filled		Matthew J. Song	1722				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Edemoisors of time may be autisable under the profusions of 30 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed with SIX (b) MACHTS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Follow the SIX (b) MACHTS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Follow to reply within the set or extended period for reply valid, by studies, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).  - Follow to reply within the set or extended period for reply valid, by studies, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).  - Follow to reply within the set or extended period for reply valid, by studies, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).  Any reply received by the Office is the time horizon state the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searched patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 29-36.38-70.72-107 and 109-141 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a  This action is FINAL.   2b  This action is non-final.   3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	Status						
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	A <u>pril 2005</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 29-36,38-70.72-107 and 109-141 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 29-36.38-70.72-107 and 109-141 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All  b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the ments is				
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  8) ☐ Other:  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  8) ☐ Other:  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  8) ☐ Other:	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  10 Other:  10 Other:  10 Other:  10 Other:  11 ☐ Other:  12 ☐ Other:  13 ☐ Other:  14 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  15 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  16 ☐ Other: _	4) Claim(s) 29-36,38-70,72-107 and 109-141 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 29-36, 38-70, 72-107 and 109-141 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: 1) Other: 2) Other: 2) Other: 3) Other: 3) Other: 4) Other: 5) Other: 5) Other: 6) Other: 6) Other: 7) Other: 7) Other: 8) Other: 8) Other: 8) Other: 8) Other: 9) Other:							
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  6) Other:  10 Other:  11 Other Statement Application (PTO-152)  12 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  13 Notice of Trademark Office	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36, 38-70, 72-107 and 109-141</u> is	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36, 38-70, 72-107 and 109-141</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Other:  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonomy None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  10 Other:  11 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  12 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  13 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  14 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  15 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  16 Other:  17 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  18 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  19 Other:  10 Other:  11 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  12 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  13 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  14 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)  15 One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Other:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	application from the International Burea	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) C) Other:	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,	, ,				
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 050628				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/22/2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 29-32, 34-36, 38-39, 42-50, 53-66, 68-70, 72-76, 79-87, 90, 92-103, 105-107, 109, 112-113, 116-126 and 132-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adomi et al (US 5,442,201) in view of Tomomura (WO 98/44539), where US 6,358,822 is used as an accurate translation.

Adomi et al discloses growing an epitaxial layer of a compound semiconductor alloy doped with nitrogen and represented by the formula  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_vIn_{1-v}P$  (O < x < 1, 0 < y < 1). Adomi et al also teaches using NH<sub>3</sub> as a nitrogen doping source and the presence of organic aluminum is considered to contribute to efficient nitrogen doping, allowing a higher amount of nitrogen doped (col 2, ln 1-65). Adomi et al also teaches a light emitting device is fabricated by growing an ntype AlGaP layer 4, an N-doped AlGaP layer 3, an N-doped AlGaP layer 2 and a p-type AlGaP on a GaP substrate 5 (col 2, ln 66 to col 3, ln 5 and Fig 1). Adomi et al also discloses the n-type AlGaP layer 4 is formed by supplying a mixed source gases of TMAl, TMGa and PH<sub>3</sub> at a growth temperature of 850°C. Adomi et al also discloses the larger AIP molar ratio of an alloy AlGaP tends to have higher nitrogen concentration in the AlGaP when the NH<sub>3</sub> concentration is the same, resulting in more efficient nitrogen doping and it is extremely hard to dope nitrogen in GaP without the presence of TMAl (col 3, ln 6-67 and Fig 4). Adomi et al also discloses MOVPE is used as the epitaxial growth method and other methods such as CBE (chemical beam epitaxy) can be used (col 4, ln 1-67). Adomi et al also teaches nitrogen is doped to GaP layers near a p-n junction to substitute P sites in order to improve the light emitting efficiency (col 1, ln 20-35).

Adomi et al does not teach a substrate temperature of 450-640°C.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,818

Art Unit: 1722

In a method of growing a Group III-V compound semiconductor, note entire reference, Tomomura teaches a Group III-V compound semiconductor layer including nitrogen and at least another Group V element grown by molecular beam epitaxy and is grown by irradiating a substrate with material molecular beams in crystal growth chamber so evacuated that the mean free path of material molecules is larger than the distance between the substrate and molecular beam sources, a nitrogen compound is used as a nitrogen source and molecules of the nitrogen compound decompose after they reach the substrate surface and only nitrogen atoms are incorporation into the growing semiconductor crystal (abstract). Tomomura also teaches a nitrogen hydride, NH<sub>3</sub>, is used as the nitrogen compound and the substrate temperature is maintained at 500-750°C during crystal growth ('822 col 3, ln 1-50). Tomomura also teaches the substrate is a compound semiconductor which as a zinc blend structure and the substrate surface has an off-angle of 5-15° from {100} plane to a {111}A plane and decomposition is promoted and high incorporation efficiency of nitrogen is achieved on this substrate surface ('822 col 3, In 50 to col 4, ln 5). Tomomura also teaches Al, Ga and In molecular beams were directed to a substrate by heating a solid metallic material using a Knudsen cell ('822 col 5, ln 10-55). Tomomura also teaches incorporation efficiency of nitrogen into the crystal can be improved ('822 col 4, ln 5-35). Tomomura also teaches GSMBE, CBE and MOMBE ('822 col 15, ln 1-30 and col 1, ln 5-55). Tomomura also teaches a timing chart for supplying reactant gases in sequence and one cycle of the source supply sequence is set in a range of 0.5 to 5 molecular layers to form a mixed crystal with uniform composition ('822 Fig 6 and col 10, ln 25-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Adomi et al with Tomomura method of forming Group III-V compound

semiconductor at a temperature of 500-750°C using MBE to improve incorporation efficiency of nitrogen into a crystal and lower operating temperatures reduces operating costs.

Referring to claim 29, Adomi et al is silent to supplying aluminum and ammonium directly onto a surface of the crystal. Adomi et al teaches the chemical beam epitaxial method may be used (col 4, ln 40-46). Chemical beam epitaxy inherently supplies reactants directly to the substrate, as evidenced by Tomomura (WO 98/44539) below, which teaches irradiating a substrate with molecular beams of source material in a growth chamber, as in a chemical beam epitaxy (col 1, ln 10-25).

Referring to claim 29-30, Adomi et al discloses Al increases the efficiency of nitrogen doping and organic aluminum, i.e. vapor phase, is considered to contribute to efficient nitrogen doping (col 2, ln 25-35), this reads on applicant's decomposition of ammonium and adsorption of nitrogen is accelerated. Furthermore, Adomi et al discloses supplying ammonia and Aluminum directly onto a substrate surface as applicant, therefore the addition or crystallization of the nitrogen from the ammonia which is supplied directly onto the surface of the crystal into the surface of the crystal is accelerated by the aluminum supplied to the surface of the crystal is inherent because Adomi teaches a similar process of supplying aluminum and ammonia to form a nitrogen in a crystal.

Referring to claim 31, Adomi et al discloses an AlGaP layer doped with nitrogen, where the Al inherently exists throughout the layer, including the surface.

Referring to claim 32, Adomi et al discloses in Fig 4 that a larger molar ratio of AlP of an AlGaP alloy tends to have higher nitrogen concentration, this reads on applicant's an amount of nitrogen added to a crystal, a nitrogen composition, an amount of nitrogen adsorbed and amount

of an element in the crystal surface is controlled by the Al. Also these would be inherent to Adomi et al.

Referring to claim 34, Adomi et al discloses CBE and MOVPE (col 4, ln 35-50). Adomi et al does not disclose MBE or GS-MBE. Chemical beam epitaxy (CBE) inherently is a form of MBE because CBE irradiates a substrate with molecular beams, as evidenced by Tomomura (WO 98/44539) below, which teaches GSMBE, CBE and MOMBE are generically referred to as MBE ('822 col 1, ln 10-50).

Referring to claim 35, Adomi et al discloses AlGaP:N (Fig 1) and P reads on applicant's Group V element other than nitrogen.

Referring to claim 36, Adomi et al discloses Phosphorous. Adomi et al does not disclose Arsenic or antimony. Arsenic, antimony and phosphorous are well-known Group V elements, used in the manufacture of Group III-V compound semiconductors. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Adomi et al by using Arsenic or antimony, which are well-known equivalents to phosphorous for the manufacturing of III-V compound semiconductors. Substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

Referring to claim 37, Tomomura teaches a temperature of 500-750°C. Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

Referring to claim 38-39, 74-76, and 112-113, Tomomura teaches the substrate surface has an off-angle of 5-15° from {100} plane to a {111}A plane, this reads on applicant's surface slanted from a (100) surface in a [011] direction or a crystal face which is equivalent.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,818

Art Unit: 1722

Referring to claim 42, Tomomura teaches an evacuated chamber and a mean free path of a molecule of each source material is longer than a distance between the substrate and a source material ('822 col 2, ln 50-67).

Referring to claim 43, Tomomura teaches solid sources in Knudsen cells.

Referring to claim 44, Tomomura teaches a nitrogen compound decomposed at the growth surface ('822 col 3, ln 1-10)

Referring to claims 45-46, Adomi et al discloses a GaP substrate (Fig1). Adomi et al does not disclose a substrate of GaAs, InP, GaSb or Si, which are well-known substrates used in the formation of Group III-V compound semiconductors. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Adomi et al by using a GaAs, InP, GaSb or Si substrate because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

Referring to claim 47-48, Adomi et al teaches a nitrogen doped epitaxial layer is an active layer and a light emitting device (col 1, ln 50-68 and Fig 1).

Referring to claim 53-54, the combination of Adomi et al and Tomomura teach III-V compound semiconductor active layer used in a laser for optical fiber communication, this reads on a system ('822, col 1, 25-40).

Referring to claim 63 and 100, Adomi et al teaches growing an AlGaInPN crystal, supplying NH<sub>3</sub> to a surface and the larger AlP molar ratio of an alloy of AlGaP tends to have a higher nitrogen concentration, this reads on applicant's adsorption of the nitrogen atom generated by decomposition of the ammonium supplied to the surface of the crystal is accelerated by the aluminum included in the surface of the crystal. Furthermore, Adomi et al

discloses supplying ammonia to a substrate surface containing aluminum as applicant, therefore decomposition of the ammonium supplied to the surface of the crystal is accelerated by the aluminum included in the surface of the crystal is inherent because Adomi teaches a similar process of supplying ammonia to the surface of an aluminum containing crystal to form nitrogen in a crystal.

Referring to claim 73, Tomomura teaches the nitrogen material and the material of the group V elements are not supplied at the same time in Fig 6.

4. Claims 40-41, 51-52, 77-78, 88-89, 91 and 114-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adomi et al (US 5,442,201) in view of Tomomura (WO 98/44539), where US 6,358,822 is used as an accurate translation, as applied to claims 29-32, 34-36, 38-39, 42-50, 53-66, 68-70, 72-76, 79-87, 90, 92-103, 105-107, 109, 112-113, 116-126 and 132-141 above, and further in view of Ito (Empirical interatomic potentials for nitride compounds semiconductors).

The combination of Adomi et al and Tomomura teaches all of the limitations of claim 40, as discussed previously, the semiconductor layer A including at least aluminum and nitrogen in it composition but not including indium in its composition and the semiconductor layer B including at least indium in its composition but not including nitrogen in its composition.

Ito teaches versatility of empirical potentials with AlN for various monolayer superlattices with InP or InAs (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Adomi et al and

Tomomura with Ito superlattice of AlN and InP or InAs monolayers because superlattices reduce lattice mismatch strain between layers.

5. Claims 33, 67, 104, 110-111 and 127-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adomi et al (US 5,442,201) in view of Tomomura (WO 98/44539), where US 6,358,822 is used as an accurate, as applied to claims 29-32, 34-36, 38-39, 42-50, 53-66, 68-70, 72-76, 79-87, 90, 92-103, 105-107, 109, 112-113, 116-126 and 132-141 above, and further in view of Motoda et al (US 5,872,022) and Ouchi (JP 10-152399), where US 6,046,096 is used as an accurate translation.

The combination of Adomi et al and Tomomura teaches all of the limitations of claim 110, as discussed previously, except etching the layered structure while masking a portion of the layered structure such that the first semiconductor layer is exposed in a portion of an etch surface and supplying ammonium to the etched surface.

In a method of forming a compound semiconductor structure, note entire reference, Ouchi teaches a portion of a compound semiconductor is irradiated with material including at least nitrogen and a group V element of the irradiated portion is substituted with nitrogen (abstract). Ouchi also teaches a GaAs substrate 501, a grating 502 formed on the substrate and performing a nitrification process around a recess portion to form a large number of InGaAsN quantum wires 505 along the recess portion at a substrate temperature of 800°C ('096 col 10, ln 35 to col 11, ln 5 and col 7, ln 20-35 and Fig 5). Ouchi also teaches when substitution by nitrogen is selectively conducted and a semiconductor layer containing nitrogen and layer without nitrogen are formed in a distributed pattern, a fine structure, such as a quantum wire can A in T In it 1700

Art Unit: 1722

be readily fabricated ('096 col 11, ln 10 to col 12, ln 25). Ouchi also teaches improved characteristics, such as low threshold, is achieved by a device with a quantum wire structure ('096 col 6, ln 1-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Adomi et al and Tomomura with Ouchi's selective nitrification to form a quantum wire structure to improve the characteristics of the device.

In a method of forming a semiconductor device, note entire reference, Motoda et al teaches a diffraction grating process, where an insulating film is formed on a diffraction grating layer 25, a stripe-shaped diffraction grating pattern 32 is formed and used as a mask. Motoda et al also teaches the diffraction grating layer is etched, thereby forming a groove having a (111) facet surface and producing a diffraction grating (col 16, ln 30 to col 17, ln 45 and Figs14(a)-14(f)). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura and Ouchi with Motoda et al's method of forming a grating by etching because deposition and etching to form the grating can be performed in the same apparatus to avoid oxidation of the oxidation and contamination, which is detrimental to the device (col 2, ln 1-35).

Referring to claim 33, the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura, Ouchi and Motoda et al teach forming a diffraction grating pattern, where nitrification occurs in a recessed portion, this reads on applicant's restricted region.

Referring to claim 110, the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura, Ouchi and Motoda et al teach forming a grating pattern by etching and supplying ammonium to a substrate to substitute a constituent element in the first semiconductor layer in a layered structure.

Referring to claim 111, Motoda et al teaches a (111) surface.

Referring to claim 127, the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura, Ouchi and Motoda et al teach a diffraction grating and a periodic wire structure at a ½ of the pitch of the grating ('096 Fig 5) and substitution of nitrogen.

Referring to claim 128, the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura, Ouchi and Motoda et al teaches a quantum wire.

Referring to claim 129, the combination of Adomi et al, Tomomura, Ouchi and Motoda et al teaches ammonium.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that the claimed temperature range produces unexpected results is noted but is not found persuasive (pg 24). Applicants have not shown that the claimed temperature range produces unexpected results. A temperature of 640°C has not been shown in the specification to be a critical endpoint. The temperature range of 450-640°C does not produce any different results from a temperature range of 450-680°C, as evidenced by page 37 of the instant specification. Also, the claimed range would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art because the prior teaches a range which overlaps the claimed range and the prior art teaches temperature is a results effective variable, which effects decomposition efficiency of NH<sub>3</sub>, surface roughness and number of crystal defects ('822 col 7, ln 30-41). Furthermore, the

prior art explicitly teaches using a substrate temperature of 580°C ('822 col 7, ln 30-35), which is within the claimed range.

In response to applicant's argument that the temperature results in accelerated decomposition of ammonium and adsorption of N at or near the surface at a temperature of 450-640°C (pg 24-25), the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). The prior art suggests using a substrate temperature within the claimed range and supplying ammonium and aluminum to a substrate surface; therefore the advantage would have naturally flowed from the suggestion of the prior art.

Applicant's argument that Adomi teaches using an undesirably high temperature of about 850°C is noted but is not found persuasive. The Examine admits Adomi teaches using a substrate temperature for a MOVPE process. However, Adomi also teaches other methods such as CBE (chemical beam epitaxy) can also be used (col 4, ln 35-50). Tomomura teaches a MBE process, which is CBE process (col 15, ln 1-15), using a substrate temperature of 500-750°C (claim 4) to produce a mixed crystal layer of a III-V compound semiconductor which includes nitrogen (col 15, ln 15-31). The modification is to use a MBE process including temperatures, as taught by Tomomura, in the invention taught by Adomi. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the deposition temperature of 500-750°C for a MBE process, as taught by Tomomura, and not to use MOVPE process temperature taught by Adomi in a MBE process.

Applicant's argument that Adomi teaches forming a AlGaInP doped with nitrogen which is in direct contrast with the invention of claim 29 is noted but is not found persuasive. Adomi teaches supplying a substrate with aluminum and ammonium; therefore meets the claimed limitations. The instantly claimed invention does not require forming a material to be made of a mixed crystal as a composition order.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., forming a material to be made of a mixed crystal as a composition order (pg 25)) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The instantly claimed invention merely requires supplying aluminum and nitrogen to a crystal.

Applicant's argument that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not use Tomomura's temperature in the technique of Adomi is noted but is not found persuasive. The Examiner agrees that a person of ordinary skill would not use the temperature taught by Tomomura in the technique of Adomi, however that is not the grounds of rejection made by Examiner. The basis of the rejection is that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the MBE method using a temperature range of 500-750°C taught by Tomomura to form the material taught by Adomi because Adomi teaches a CBE method can also be used ('201 col 35-50) and a MBE process is a CBE process ('822 col 1-15). The modification is to use the MBE process taught by Tomomura and not simply to use the temperature taught by Tomomura.

Applicant's argument that Tomomura does not teach the deposition of Aluminum and ammonium in the range of 480-640°C is noted but is not found persuasive. Tomomura teaches a substrate temperature of 500-750°C can be used when NH<sub>3</sub> is used (col 7, ln 30-35) and forming a III-V compound semiconductor, where Aluminum is a Group III element, which is applicable (col 15, ln 20-35, claims 1-4). Although Tomomura does not explicitly teach using Aluminum and ammonium together, Tomomura does suggest using aluminum and ammonium together and using a substrate temperature of 500-750°C when using ammonium.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a temperature of 450-680°C) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claimed range is 450-640°C.

Applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach the claimed temperature range is noted but is not found persuasive. The prior art teaches a temperature range of 500-750°C, which overlaps the claimed range and specifically using a temperature of 580°C, which is within the claimed range ('822 col 7, ln 30-35). Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05). Also, the prior art also teaches that temperature is a result effective variable ('822 col 7, ln 30-41); therefore it would have been obvious to optimizing the temperature by conducting routine experimentation to obtain the claimed range. Persuasive evidence showing that the claimed range produces unexpected results has not been provided. The four data points of Figure 4 do not show the criticality of the claimed range.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,818

Art Unit: 1722

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J Song

Page 15

Examiner

Art Unit 1722

MJS June 28, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER